Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/685,750	BUDZIK, MARK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gay Ann Spahn	3635	

	Gay Ann Spahn	3635			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to t	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extensic have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensic under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; set for thin (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appea Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 4.1.37(a). 					
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues f appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 	ill be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
ARTIDATION OTHER EVIDENCE. 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provid showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	n condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other Statement Statement (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
ATTACHMENT: Notice of References Cited or PTO-892	, , ,	ay Ann Spahn/	arv Examiner		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments flied March 30, 2009 have been fully considered but do not serve to obviate the rejection of claims 1-10. As for Applicant's argument that "the reliance on 'common knowledge' is in appropriate in this case and the rejection should be withdrawn', Applicant has failed to specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner's action, which would include stating why the noticed fact is not considered to be common knowledge or well-known in the art.

As for Applicant's expressly requesting that the Examiner "support the finding with adequate evidence" as required under MPEP §2144.03(C), at least U.S. Patents 5.353,392 to Evans, 6,036,05 to Krause et al., 6,231,996 to Dyer et al. 6,251.480 to Jackson clearly disclose as old and well known the fact that "tis notoriously well known in the art that open-celled foams are absorbent and that exposing such open cells on a surface would allow enhanced attachment/absorption of any drywall, paint, active, etc." Meanwhile, at least U.S. Patents 3,774,250 to Miller and 5,571,463 to Sypula et al. as well as U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0175493 to Naito et al. disclose as old and well known that "It would be well within the knowledge of the ordinary skilled artisan to row a layer of the cellular polymer to expose or open the cells on the surface thereof so that the material of the expansive surface of the flange would be absorbent and could attach/absorb drywall, paint, adhesive or other "well" material to be applied to the surface."

/Gay Ann Spahn/ Gay Ann Spahn, Primary Examiner April 13, 2009